

September 17, 2020

#### VERSE Equity Dashboard

Visual mock-up for country equity and efficiency dashboard











Bryan Patenaude, ScD Principal Investigator

Cristina Garcia, PhD





Gatien De Broucker, MHS Deborah Odihi, MHS



Libby Watts, MHS



Salin Sriudomporn, MHS







## • Vaccine Economics Research for Sustainability & Equity (VERSE)

- Objective: To provide countries with robust evidence on the economic and equity implications of their immunization financing and delivery strategies.
- Funded by: The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation







- Develop a model and tool for countries to make standardized equity assessments of vaccine coverage that are comparable and trackable over time
- Allow for modeling of equity-efficiency tradeoffs
- Pilot in 2 4 countries & develop case-studies





# VERSE Equity Dashboard: Background

**Goal**: Create easy-to-use, easy-to-interpret tool for advocates and decisionmakers to assess vaccine-related equity in a country and track progress in equity and efficiency over time

Initial focus countries: Bangladesh, India, Nigeria, Uganda

- Compare within and between countries
  - Dashboard will compare subregions within each country on an equity-efficiency plane
  - Future capabilities may make it possible to add regions from several countries for comparison

- Tracking progress over time
  - The first iteration of the dashboard will offer a snapshot of the equity of vaccine coverage by sub-region in the focus countries
  - As we add more years of data, the dashboard will show trends in equity over time





- The Dashboard will examine equity over several key outcomes related to vaccines. These will include:
  - 1. Programatic Equity: Vaccine Coverage (Metric: % Coverage)
  - 2. Economic Equity: Vaccine preventable disease-related out-of-pocket expenditure and Cost-of-Illness Benefits (Metric: US\$ or local currency)
  - **3. Health Outcomes Equity**: DALYs averted due to vaccine coverage (Metric: DALYs)

## Composite equity metric (multivariate equity)<sup>1</sup>

- Dashboard will show composite equity metric using the approach outlined in Barbosa & Cookson(2019) using the following dimensions:
  - 1. Socio-economic status (Metric: Wealth Quintile)
  - 2. Urban/Rural Designation (Metric: Residential Location Indicator)
  - 3. Politically relevant geographic sub-division (Metric: State, Region...)
  - 4. Sex of vaccine-recipient (Metric: Male/Female sex of child vaccinated)
  - 5. Maternal Education Level (Metric: Years of Education)
- Each equity dimension will also be presented separately

1. Barbosa EC, Cookson R. Multiple inequity in health care: An example from Brazil. *Social Science & Medicine*. 2019;228:1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.02.034

### VERSE dashboard added value beyond WHO-HEAT data visualizer



- VERSE dashboard will...
  - Show **multivariate** equity over all dimensions
  - Efficiency indicators will include coverage (%), cost per dose
- VERSE scope is currently narrower than HEAT tool
  - VERSE is vaccine-specific
  - Presents data for limited number of countries

### Equity-Efficiency Plane: Multivariate equity



Maternal education: no education

Contributors to Inequity (Coverage, health, OOPE) Decomposition of Overall Equity



SES Urban/Rural Child sex Maternal education

The overall equity measure reflects equity over four dimensions (socioeconomic status, urban/rural, child sex, maternal education level).

Across all categories in [Country], socioeconomic status contributes to 59% of inequity; urban/rural, 23%; child sex, 10%; maternal education level, 8%.

Select dimension in step 3.

### Equity-Efficiency Plane: Urban/rural equity



#### Map: Efficiency and wealth [income] equity





Reference categories: Wealth quintile: richest (5<sup>th</sup>) Urban/rural: urban Child sex: Male Maternal education: no education Contributors to Inequity (Coverage, health, OOPE) Socioeconomic Status

![](_page_10_Figure_5.jpeg)

SES Urban/Rural Child sex Maternal education

Across all categories in [Country], socioeconomic status contributes to 59% of inequity; urban/rural, 23%; child sex, 10%; maternal education level, 8%.

Select dimension in step 3.

### Tracking equity over time

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Child sex: Male

Maternal education: no education

Contributors to Inequity (Coverage, health, OOPE)

**Decomposition of Overall Equity** 

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

SES • Urban/Rural • Child sex • Maternal education

The overall equity measure reflects equity over four dimensions (socioeconomic status, urban/rural, child sex, maternal education level).

Across all categories in [Country], socioeconomic status contributes to 59% of inequity; urban/rural, 23%; child sex, 10%; maternal education level, 8%.

Select dimension in step 1.

### Table of Indicators

Select country and regions:

	Slo	pe Index 🧻	Relati	ve Equity Gap 🧃
Region 1				
Overall equity				
SES	12	DTP3 coverage is 12% points higher for the richest quintile than the poorest quintile.		Indicator not applicable
Child sex		Indicator not applicable	1.04	DTP3 coverage is 4% higher for male children than female children
Urban/rural		Indicator not applicable	1.16	DTP3 coverage is 16% higher in urban areas than rural areas
Region 2				
Overall equity				
SES				
Child sex				
Urban/rural				

Select indicators:

Slope Index

□ Relative Index

□ Absolute Equity Gap

Relative Equity Gap

□∕∕≥oncentration Index

Select co	able	<ul> <li>Select indicators:</li> <li>Slope Index</li> <li>Relative Index</li> <li>Absolute Equity Gap</li> <li>Relative Equity Gap</li> <li>Concentration Index</li> </ul>							
Slope Index					Relative Equity Gap 🧃				
Region 1	-					1			
Overall	The slope index of inequality represents the absolute difference in								
SES	and mos subgrou	st- dis ps.	advantaged groups, while accounting f	r not applicable					
Child se	Slope In	dex =	e level in most-advantaged – level in lea	ast adva	ntaged	overage is 4% higher for ildren than female childrer			
Urban/rural			Indicator not applicable	1.16	DTP3 coverage is 16% higher in urban areas than rural areas				
Region 2									
Overall	equity								
SES									
Child se	X								
Urban/ri	ıral								

#### **Report generation**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Bangladesh

Example statements:

- Overall equity has improved by X% from the previous year.
- Efficiency has/has not been sacrificed for this gain/loss.
- Region 1 and 3 are leading in equity.
- The key driver of overall inequity continues to be/is now be socio-economic equity at 59%.
- Compared with other countries that have utilized this tool and made information public, you are ranked X of Y for overall equity and X of Y for both equity and efficiency

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

- 1. Would a vaccine equity tool of this form be useful for you or your country/organization?
- 2. Who (title/position) at your organization would be most likely to use such a tool?
- 3. Are the proposed dimensions of health equity appropriate for your setting? Are there other dimensions that are missing that you would find useful?
- 4. Does the format of the tool appear user-friendly?
- 5. Is this similar to other tools you are familiar with? If so, which ones?

## Discussion Questions (II)

- 1. Are there aspects that could be improved to make the tool or its outputs more user-friendly?
- 2. Are the outputs of the tool clearly displayed and appropriate for your setting?
- 3. Are there additional outputs that should be included in the tool?
- 4. What data is readily available to populate this tool from your setting?
- 5. What data gaps do you anticipate that may hinder the usefulness of this tool?
- 6. Are you interested in continuing to engage in the development process (e.g., piloting the tool in your country, reviewing mock-ups of the dashboard)?